STATE OF CALIFORNIA **CAPITAL OUTLAY BUDGET CHANGE PROPOSAL (COBCP)** 

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE 915 L Street

Sacramento, CA 95814 IMS Mail Code: A15

## **BUDGET YEAR 2016-17**

BUSINESS UNIT:	
----------------	--

**COVER PAGE** 

3790

(REV 06/15)

COBCP NO: 5

PRIORITY: 5

PROJECT ID:

0000230

**DEPARTMENT:** 

Department of Parks and Recreation

PROJECT TITLE:

McArthur-Burney Falls Memorial SP: Ramp and Boarding Float Replacement

TOTAL REQUEST (Dollars in Thousands)

\$618

MAJOR/MINOR: MA

PHASE(S) TO BE FUNDED:

C

PROJ CAT: CRI

CCCI:

6069

## SUMMARY OF PROPOSAL:

The Department of Parks and Recreation (Department) requests \$618,000 for the construction phase of this continuing project from the Harbors and Watercraft Revolving Fund. This existing project will improve safety and convenience for users by completely reconstructing the dilapidated boat launching ramp and boarding float at this location, both of which have exceeded their intended design lives.

HAS A BUDGET PACKAGE BEEN COMPLETED FOR THIS PROJECT? N	
REQUIRES LEGISLATION? N IF YES, LIST CODE SECTIONS:	
REQUIRES PROVISIONAL LANGUAGE? N	
IMPACT ON SUPPORT BUDGET: ONE-TIME COSTS: N FUTURE COSTS:	Ν
FUTURE SAVINGS: N REVENUE:	Ν
DOES PROPOSAL AFFECT ANOTHER DEPARTMENT? IF YES, ATTACH COMMENTS	Ν
OF AFFECTED DEPARTMENT SIGNED BY ITS DIRECTOR/DESIGNEE.	
SIGNATURE APPROVALS:	

SIGNATURE APPROVALS:			
Mix Praycex	12/2/2015	Boewen	12/31/15
PREPARED BY	DATÉ	REVIEWED BY	DATE
What	1-4-16	ROL	114/16
DEPARTMENT DIRECTOR	DATE	AGÉNCY SECRETARY	DATE
********	******	*********	******
	DOF ANAI	YST USE	
DOF ISSUE # PROGRAM	1 CAT: PROJEC	T CAT: BUDG PACK S	TATUS:
ADDED REVIEW: SUPPORT:		CU/ITCU: OSAE: CA	LSTARS:
Original Signed By	•		
PPBA: Andrea Scharffer	DATE SUBM	ITTED TO LEGISLATURE:	1-7-16

BUSINESS UNIT: 3790 COBCP NO: 5 PRIORITY: 5 PROJECT ID: 0000230

#### A. PURPOSE OF THE PROJECT.

This project will replace a dilapidated boat launching ramp and a boarding float. The existing boat launching ramp is over 40 years old and the existing wood boarding float is over 25 years old, and both are worn well beyond their expected service lives. The existing boat ramp is not properly grooved for wheel traction resulting in vehicles slipping when launching and retrieving boats. This problem is especially pronounced during the fall, when icy or frosty conditions exist in the park. The existing wood boarding float is an obsolete design and is rotting in some locations, which makes it difficult to nail and hold protective bumpers in place along the edges of the float. This project proposes to correct these issues.

## B. RELATIONSHIP TO THE STRATEGIC PLAN

The mission of the Department is to provide for the health, inspiration, and education of the people of California by helping to preserve the state's extraordinary biological diversity, protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources and creating opportunities for high-quality outdoor recreation.

This project furthers the California State Parks Strategic Action Plan 2013-14 of the Department's mission by contributing to the following goals:

- <u>Protect and preserve resources and facilities in the existing State Parks System</u>: The existing boat ramp and boarding float will be reconstructed, in order to protect and preserve this boating resource.
- <u>Connect people to California's State Parks System</u>: Reconstructing the existing boat ramp and boarding float will extend the existing boating season into the fall, which allows more visitors safe access to boating recreation.

The mission of the Division of Boating and Waterways (DBW) is to provide for safe and convenient public access to California's waterways and to provide leadership in promoting the public's right to a safe and enjoyable boating experience. The proposed project furthers this mission.

#### C. ALTERNATIVES

The following alternative solutions were considered to address the identified deficiencies:

Alternative 1: Replace both the boat ramp and boarding float (this project). This project will improve safety, improve access, and expand the boating season at this location. Facility management benefits and impacts to support budgets will remain unchanged.

Alternative 2: Replace the ramp only. This project will replace the boat ramp and will omit the boarding float replacement. This project will make boat launching and retrieval easier and safer at this location; however, it will not correct problems with the boarding float now in use that will only become worse over time. Facility management benefits and impacts to support budgets will remain unchanged.

Alternative 3: Replace the boarding float only. This project will replace the boarding float and will omit the ramp replacement. This project will make getting in and out of boats easier and safer at this location; however, it will not correct the wheel traction problem at this location

especially during icy or frosty conditions. Facility management benefits and impacts to support budgets will remain unchanged.

Alternative 4: <u>No project</u>. Do not replace the boat ramp and boarding float. This alternative will continue the gradual degradation of the ramp and boarding float. Consequently, this will endanger the public who will continue to use the ramp and boarding float.

## D. RECOMMENDED SOLUTION

Recommended Alternative and Why
 The recommended solution is Alternative 1: Replace both the boat ramp and boarding float because it will correct the wheel slippage and decaying dock problem at this ramp, which will result in improved safety and an expanded boating season at this location.

## 2. Detailed Scope Description

Remove and dispose of existing boat ramp, boarding float, piles and gangway; construct approximately 2,100 square feet of new, reinforced, v-grooved concrete boat ramp using a combination of push slab and cast-in-place techniques with a concrete maneuvering apron; install three new 12-inch diameter steel piles and new boarding float; construct new sidewalks; and place armoring (such as rip rap) along the edges of the new boat ramp.

#### 3. Basis for Cost Information

Public works contract costs have been estimated by the Department based on the detailed project scope description, schematics, outline specifications, RS Means, and historical cost data. Costs are then adjusted for general conditions of the contract, the contractor's overhead, profit and bonds/insurance. The estimate is then adjusted to the midpoint of the anticipated construction period at a rate of 0.42 percent per month to adjust for the effects of inflation.

Agency retained costs are based on the staff effort and associated operating expense required to accomplish the identified tasks. Agency retained costs are calculated based on approved salary rates as of January 2015.

## 4. Comparison to Least Expensive Alternative

The least expensive alternative would be to do no project. However, both the existing boat ramp and boarding float have exceeded their design life expectancies. The designs of both the ramp and the boarding float are obsolete and are at a point where repairs or rehabilitations do not have as much of an effect. Both need to be replaced in order to provide uninterrupted recreational access to Lake Britton in the future. Further, the "do nothing" alternative does not allow DBW to meet its mission "to provide for safe and convenient public access to California's waterways and to provide leadership in promoting the public's right to safe and enjoyable boating."

# 5. Impact on Support Budget

There is no anticipated impact on the Department's support budget as a result of this project.

# 6. Project Risks/Secondary Effects

There are no known or identifiable risks or secondary effects associated with this project.

# 7. Interagency Coordination

There will need to be coordination with the following public agencies:

- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
- California Regional Water Quality Control Board
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife
- Pacific Gas & Electric

## 8. Attendance History

Recent annual attendance is as follows:

Year	Day-Use	Camping	Total
2009/10	113,113	51,785	164,898
2010/11	117,232	50,295	167,527
2011/12	132,961	50,806	183,767
2012/13	128,119	48,995	177,114
2013/14	143,216	45,443	188,659

## 9. Environmental Indicators

Chapter 664, Statutes of 2003 expresses legislative intent that departments within the Resources Agency use environmental indicators, where applicable, in the development of budget proposals. The Environmental Protection Agency and the Resources Agency have jointly developed an initial set of Environmental Protection Indicators for California. This project will not impact any of the identified environmental indicators.

## E. CONSISTENCY WITH GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 65041.1

1. Does the recommended solution (project) promote infill development by rehabilitating existing infrastructure?

N/A

Explanation: The recommended alternative occurs in an area not in an existing development pattern. It is to repair and improve an existing facility with existing infrastructure.

2. Does the project improve the protection of environmental and agricultural resources by protecting and preserving the state's most valuable natural resources?

N/A

Explanation: The recommended project does not directly improve protection, but improves facilities in the park which meets the mission of the Department by "...protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources..."

3. Does the project encourage efficient development patterns by ensuring that infrastructure associated with development, other than infill, support efficient use of land and is appropriately planned for growth?

N/A

Explanation: Project is within an area in a State Park not planned for development outside of meeting the Department's mission.

#### F. JUSTIFICATION FOR AGENCY RETAINED ITEMS

This project proposes to completely replace the worn two-lane boat ramp and pile-guided boarding float and comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act. This project will require compliance and permitting through the Water Quality Control Board, Clean Water Act (401); California Fish and Wildlife, Fish and Game codes (1600) and U.S. Army Corps, (404). Environmental, Cultural Resources and Natural Resources staff will be required to obtain permits, ensure the project is in compliance with all regulatory agency controls, and monitor activities to ensure minimal impact to surrounding resources.

# DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION ACQUISITION AND DEVELOPMENT CAPITAL OUTLAY COST ESTIMATE

UNIT:McArthur-Burney Falls Memorial State ParkDATE:6/26/2015PROJECT:Ramp and Boarding Float ReplacementBY:SW

## **DESCRIPTION**

This project will improve safety and convenience for users by completely reconstructing the dilapidated boat launching ramp and boarding float at this location, both of which have exceeded their intended design lives.

## **ESTIMATE SUMMARY**

Item: DEMOLITION SITE WORK	57,000 284,000	
ESTIMATED TOTAL CURRENT COSTS on June 13, 2013	CCCI 5796	341,000
Adjust CCCI from 5796 to 6069	-	16,000
ESTIMATED TOTAL CURRENT COSTS on June 18, 2015	CCCI 6069	357,000
Escalate to Constr Start 23 months at 0.42%/mo		34,000
Escalate to Constr Midpoint 3 months at 0.42%/mo	_	4,000
ESTIMATED TOTAL CONTRACTS		395,000
Contingency @ 7%		28,000
ESTIMATED TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST		423,000
ARCHITECTURAL AND ENGINEERING SERVICES		127,000
OTHER PROJECT COSTS		88,000
ESTIMATED TOTAL CONTRACTING AGENCY COSTS		638,000
AGENCY RETAINED ITEMS		78,000
ESTIMATED TOTAL PROJECT COST		716,000

## DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION ACQUISITION AND DEVELOPMENT CAPITAL OUTLAY COST ESTIMATE SUMMARY OF COSTS BY PHASE

UNIT: McArthur-Burney Falls Memorial State Park PROJECT: Ramp and Boarding Float Replacement

**DATE:** 6/26/2015 **BY:** SW

CATEGORY	S	Р	w	С	E	TOTAL
PW CONTRACT COSTS						
PW Contract			A450 1	395,000	457.63	395,000
PW Contingency	4-18-90V-3-55-	A Company	Company of the	28,000		28,000
SUBTOTAL PW CONSTRUCTION COST	TENNESS PROPERTY.	er segrense se	<b>有效的基本化</b> 多次	423,000	A STATE OF THE STA	423,000
A & E SERVICES						
A & E Design	-	12,000	22,000	4,000		38,000
As-Built Drawings			+6	3,000	100	3,000
Inspection Services Other	Series and Advanta		3,000	83,000		83,000 <sup>1</sup> 3,000
SUBTOTAL A&E SERVICES	-	12,000	25,000	90,000		127,000
OTHER PROJECT COSTS						
Accessibility Review	72445032.2	Market Ladens	2,000	<u>-</u>	Company of	2,000
Construction Management	346	-		24,000		24,000
Contract Administration	-	2,000	2,000	8,000		12,000
Estimating	-	-	-	5,000		5,000
Fees	-	-	-	-		-
GIS	-	-	-	-		-
HAZMAT	-	-	-	-		-
Office Administration	-	-	-	-	4.0	-
Other Permits	_	6,000	6,000	_		12,000
Project Management	_	1,000	2,000	16,000		19,000
Public Communications	_	- 1,000	2,000	,0,000	4.	-
Specialty Consultants	_	9,000	_	-		9,000
Testing	-	· -	-	5,000	4.2.25	5,000
SUBTOTAL OTHER PROJECT COSTS	-	18,000	12,000	58,000	当多沙林的影。	88,000
TOTAL CONTRACTING AGENCY COST	-	30,000	37,000	571,000	-	638,000
AGENCY RETAINED ITEMS						
ARI Consultant Contracts	-	-	-	-	CHAPTAI	-
Cultural Resources	-	8,000	10,000	43,000		61,000
Environmental Review	holis poersy positive of 1	3,000	1,000	1,000	The second of the second	5,000
Equipment / Material					-	-
Furniture / Fixtures	\$15.50			- W. S.	The south seed seed to the seed of the see	<u> </u>
General Plan	-	-	-	-	Estimate.	
Monitoring Interpretation		1 -			Carlena	l :
Natural Resources	-	4,000	5,000	3,000		12,000
Other	_	,,550	3,330	- 5,550		.2,550
Signs	industry detections	en promotivativate in the transfer of the tran	Andrews and the second	-		-
Site Furnishings				-		-
Site Surveys	-		-	-		-
TOTAL AGENCY RETAINED COSTS	•	15,000	16,000	47,000	-	78,000
TOTAL ESTIMATED PROJECT COST		45,000	53,000	618,000	-	716,000

STATE OF CALIFORNIA	CHAI	NCE BRODO	SAL (CORC	D)				N 23	0	ſ			ear 2016	-17
CAPITAL OUTLAY BUDGET FISCAL IMPACT WORKSHE		ige Prupu	OAL (CODU	r)					Proj ID:	Ļ		000:		
		in in it. It	arks and Recr	in.	A Carrier	er kroos way in Tarif	la,	and the Sales		2 - 14 and 15	BU/Entity:	⊦	3790	
Department Title:					Dame	and Dened	i	Clast Dagle			Progarm ID	-	2860	
Project Title:			Falls Memori astructure Def				ing	гюат керіа	acement		OBCP#:	-	5	
Program Category:				riciencie	S - EXI	sting					riority:	L	5	
Program Subcategory:	- עא	Recreation	Development	1	-: 40 ·	A and d	1 51.	17207	5 344 - 347		/A/MI:	1	MA	
			Existing Authority	Januar Acti		April 1 Action		May 1 Action	May 14 Action	6.0 17.50	Special Action		Project To	otal
FUNDING bu-ref-fund-eny-year	ph	action										il i		7
3790-301-0516-14-14	- Pii	BA	45		* * .	·		19 Table 1 (4/1)	n sa an ang			+		45
3730-301-0310-14-14	·											-		0
3790-301-0516-15-15	W	BA	53						:			-  '		53
0100 001 0010 10 10											<del></del>	-1:		0
3790-301-0516-16-16	С	ВА			618							- 13		618
0130-001-0010-10-10	-											-13		
					<del></del>		+	·	<del> </del>			-		ິດ
		i	ļ ———				-			-		$\dashv$		(
	1			<b>-</b>	:		+					+		. C
	<del></del>						÷		ļ			-13	40.00	0
									<u> </u>			-		ે
			·									-		٠
			·										St. Surgi	r
									:					ď
TOTAL FUNDI	NG	sangang sa	98		618	and the second	0		Talana et	0		0	e de la companya de l	716
PRO IEST SO		and the second					-		-		. *	+		
그 시민은 강해를 가는 생각 모르는 그 그 그 없는데 그 것	313												1. 1.	
Study Acquisition									:				المرأة الأ	. (
Performance Criteria						<del>_</del>			·			-1.		ે (
一种 化二烷化二十烷 计自然分类 化二氯化二氯化二氯化二氯 化环烷 化电路线线 化氯化铁铁			45				$\dot{-}$					<b>-</b>   ¹		45
Preliminary Plans			53				$\perp$			-		_[:		-53
Working Drawings			482	mergents:	136	and the single	ا 107 م		i Tomoroma	ຳກ່	<b>网络</b> 拉拉拉	n		618
Total Construction or Design-E	oulia	4	102	specific adjust	130	Book Rolling		a in applicable.	ARLEY AR	. U	ar din si na 📦			010
Equipment (Group 2) TOTAL COST	ro .		580	The second	136		n	: 1 3 3 5 <b>C</b>	Tarahaji kulus Ner	0		<u></u>	<u> </u>	716
			300		100			3.3				٧	- 11 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1	710
CONSTRUCTIO	A CONTRACTOR				İ									. 4 5 - <b>7</b>
DESIGN-BUILD D	'⊏ I All		400	ļ ——	-8		<del>- i-</del>	-	!					300
Contract			403 28		-0							$\dashv$		395 28
Contingency			20		68	man man the administration of the contract flows to								
A&E			15						,					90
Agency Retained	* - * <u>*</u>			ļ	32							$\dashv$		
Other TOTAL CONSTRUC	TION	<u>OD</u>	14	ļ	44				* a.a **	-		+		58
TOTAL CONSTRUC DESIGN-BUIL		OK .	482	•	136	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0	0		0		0		618
FUTURE FUND			482		<del>-482</del>		0			0	-	이		<del>-</del> 1
-UIUKE PUND	,,,,,,		1 702		.72		_		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<u> 1</u>		<u> </u>
SCHEDULE			mm/dd/yyyy				PR	OJECT SP	ECIFIC C	ODE	S			
Study Completion	(XIII	Setting of the second	1			Proj Mgmt:		DPR	Location:		McArthur-Bu	ırnev	Falls SP	
Acquisition Approval				•		Budg Pack:	. ;	N	County:		Shasta			-
Start Preliminary Plans/Perform	mance	e Criteria	7/1/2014	•	'	Proj Cat:		CRI	City:	-	Burney			
,			12/15/2015	_		Req Legis:	. –	N N	Cong Dist:		1			
Preliminary Plan/Performance	(;riter	IA ADDIOVAL												
Preliminary Plan/Performance Approval to Proceed to Bid	Criter	ia Approvai		_			_							
Preliminary Plan/Performance Approval to Proceed to Bid Contract Award Approval	Criter	іа Арріочаі	12/15/2016 3/15/2017	•		Req Prov: SO/LA Imp	_	N N	Sen Dist: Assm Dist:		1	_		

STATE OF CALIFORNIA	T CHANGE PROPOSAL (COPOS)			Year 2016-1
	T CHANGE PROPOSAL (COBCP)	Proj ID:	Transport of the same	0230 3790
FISCAL DETAIL WORKSH	- 10 - 1 - 4 からかかから (4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4		BU/Entity:	2860
Department Title:	Department of Parks and Recreation	and Pageding Float Pontagement	_ Progarm ID COBCP #:	5
Project Title:	McArthur-Burney Falls Memorial SP: Ramp CRI - Critical Infrastructure Deficiencies - Exi		Priority:	5
Program Category:		Stilly	MA/MI:	MA
Program Subcategory:	RD - Recreation Development of the categories listed below. Attach a detailed l		<ul> <li>11 valoration switch</li> </ul>	
summary estimates for item through BY+4).	s for which you plan to request funding in the futu	ıre. When possible, identify funding r	needs by fisca	l year (BY+1
PROJ	ECT RELATED COSTS		COST	TOTAL
AGENCY RETAINED:				
	iminary Plans: 3; Working Drawings: 1; Construc	ction: 1)	5	
	nary Plans: 8; Working Drawings: 10; Construction		61	
	ary Plans: 4; Working Drawings: 5; Construction		12	
				1
······	170 /			
		TOTAL AGENCY RETAINS	ED A COM	7
GROUP 2 EQUIPMENT	and the second of the second o		. Navi Serva Zi	
J. M. Delver	the state of the s	TOTAL GROUP2 EQUIPM	ENT	是似为(20
IMPAC	T ON SUPPORT BUDGET		COST	TOTAL
ONE-TIME COSTS		tara tara di Kabupatèn Kabupatèn Kabupatèn Kabupatèn Kabupatèn Kabupatèn Kabupatèn Kabupatèn Kabupatèn Kabupat Kabupatèn Kabupatèn	The World Street	
		*****	<u> </u>	<b> </b>
Tarih e		TOTAL SUPPORT ONE-TIME	COSTS	
ANNUAL ONGOING FUTU	RECOSTS	the state of the second second	156.5765	
				1.4.3
		TOTAL SUPPORT ANNUAL (	COSTS	
ANNUAL ONGOING FUTU	RE SAVINGS		Louis V. 1980)	
		TOTAL CUIDDODT ANNUAL C	AV/IVICO	3.12
ANNUAL ONGOING FIRM	DE DEVENUE	TOTAL SUPPORT ANNUAL S	AVINGS	
ANNUAL ONGOING FUTU	RE REVENUE		1 31 5 5 6 A	
			+	
			+	
		TOTAL SUPPORT ANNUAL RE	L EVENUE	<del>                                     </del>
		TO THE OUT FOR LANGUE ME		1

STATE OF CALIFORNIA	Budget \	Year 2016-17
CAPITAL OUTLAY BUDGET CHANGE PROPOSAL (COBCP) Proj ID:	0000	0230
SCOPE/ASSUMPTIONS WORKSHEET	BU/Entity:	3790
Department Title: Department of Parks and Recreation	Progarm ID	2860
Project Title: McArthur-Burney Falls Memorial SP: Ramp and Boarding Float Replacement	COBCP#:	5
Program Category: CRI - Critical Infrastructure Deficiencies - Existing	Priority:	5
Program Subcategory: RD - Recreation Development	MA/MI:	MA

**Project Specific Proposals:** For new projects provide proposed Scope language. For continuing projects provide the latest approved Scope language. Enter Scope language in cell A110.

Conceptual Proposals: Provide a brief discussion of proposal defining assumptions supporting the level of funding proposed by fiscal year in relation to outstanding need identified for that fiscal year. (Also include scope descriptions for BY+1 through BY+4 in cell A110).

This project will improve safety and convenience for users by completely reconstructing the dilapidated boat launching ramp and boarding float at this location, both of which have exceeded their intended design lives.